PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNA	TIONAL SEA	ARCHING AUTH	ORITY				1	
To:				, +	24 1	UL 2006	PCT	
			850	J- /4	:C'U 4	MRIFFE	CT) N OPINION OF	THE
	see form	PCT/ISA/220	8,	¥.			L SEARCHING	AUTHORITY
			0		VVI			
						(- C	T Rule 43bis.1)	
					Date of mail	linn		
					(day/month/)	•	rm PCT/ISA/210 (secon	d sheet)
Applicant's	or agent's file	e reference					more a single to	
	PCT/ISA/2				FOR FUR See paragra	RTHER AC 1ph 2 below	TION	
Internation	al application	No.	Internationa	al filing date (d	i ay/month/year,) P	riority date (day/month/)	/ear)
PCT/GB2	2005/00499	0	21.12.200	05		}	21.12.2004	,
		sification (IPC) or I				L		The state of the s
A61P21/	C39/15 C0 00	7C235/26 C070	C233/03 C(07D213/02 /	A61K31/055	5 A61K31Æ	05 A61K31/167 A61	K31/165
Applicant	MEDICA P	PEC.						

1. This	opinion co	ontains indicatio	ons relating	to the follo	wing items:	:		
⊠ 6	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion					
□ E	Box No. II	Priority						
⊠ E	Box No. III	Non-establishm	nent of opini	on with regar	d to noveity,	inventive st	tep and industrial app	licability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of						·
⊠ E	Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under ations and e	r Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> explanations	1 (a)(i) with re supporting si	gard to nov uch stateme	relty, inventive step or ent	r industrial
_	lox No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited					
	lox No. VII	Certain defects						
⊠ 8	litV ,oN xol	Certain observa	itions on the	internationa	l application			
2. FUR	THER ACTI	ON						
writte the a Interr	en opinion of pplicant cho	the Internationa oses an Authorit eau under Rule 6	l Preliminary v other than	/ Examining . this one to b	Authority ("IF on the IPFA a	PEA") excep	ally be considered to t that this does not ap sen iPEA has notifed ai Searching Authority	oply where
subm from	ilt to the IPE	A a written reply nailing of Form F	toaether, wi	here appropr	iate, with am	rendments	i, the applicant is invit before the expiration is from the priority date	of 3 months
For fu	urther option	s, see Form PC1	MSA/220.					
		s, see notes to Fo		1/220				
J. , J. 10		,, 355 115163 15 1	JIII - O 1/10J	Val. U.				
Vame and m	ailing address	of the ISA	and the state of t	Data of as as	w India — d		formation full littles recommend to recommend to the commendation of the commendation	
				Date of com this opinion	Իւռում ըլ	Authorized	Onicer	There's Parameter
<i>o</i>)))	European P D-80298 Mu			see form		Gramme	noudi S	
	Tel. +49 89	2399 - 0 Tx: 52368	i6 epmu d	PCT/ISA/210) i		·	
•	r'ax: +49 89	2399 - 4465		1		i l'elephone	No. +49 89 2399-8324	ALDINO 1340.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/004990

_	Во	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	Wi	th re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	区	the	international application in the language in which it was filed			
		a ti pui	ranslation of the international application into _, which is the language of a translation furnished for the rposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).			
2.	Wit	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. t	ype	of material:			
			a sequence listing			
			table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. f	orma	at of material:			
	İ		on paper			
	İ		in electronic form			
	c. ti	ime d	of filing/furnishing:			
	[contained in the international application as filed.			
	(filed together with the international application in electronic form.			
	(furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		copi	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/004990

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of							
	the entire international application						
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 34-39						
be	ecause:						
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 34-39 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:						
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.						
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.						
	☐ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 ter. 1(a) or (b).						
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.						
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	See Supplemental Box for further details						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/004990

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

6,7,10,12,17,43-45

No: Claims

1-5,8,9,11,13-16,18-42

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-45

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-33,40-45

No: Claims

34-39

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

- D1= WO-A-04/17920
- D2= WO-A-03/91189
- D3= The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, vol. 301 no. 2, 2002, pages 679-689
- D4= Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, vol. 43, no. 20, 2000, pages 3778-3785
- D5= Journal of Organic Chemistry, vol. 69, no. 15, 2004, pages 5147-5149
- D6= Journal of Organic Chemistry, vol. 69, no. 15, 2004, pages 7700-7704
- D7= Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, vol. 92, no. 2 pages 193-196
- D8= Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, vol. 6, no. 2, 1996, pages 189-194

SECTION III

Claims 34-39 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

For the assessment of the subject-matter of present claims 34-39 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claim. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

SECTION V

 The present application relates to compounds of formula I, processes for their preparation, pharmaceutical compositions comprising them and the use thereof for the manufacture of medicaments. Document D1 discloses a biphenyl derivative of formula 25 (cf. D1, page 19), ha-ving the same biological properties (CB₁ binding affinity) and use as the com-pounds of the present invention (cf. D1, page 1, lines 14-21, page 13, line 18 - page 15, line 18, Table 2, claims 1, 11, 14, 18, 20 and 22). Thus, the disclosure of D1 is prejudicial to the novelty of present claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 13-16 and 18-42. The compounds according to D2-D4 also exhibit CB₁ binding affinities (cf. D2, Table 1, entries 1-3; D3, Table 1, entries 1-3; D4, Scheme 2, compounds 20 a,b and Table 5) and are novelty-destroying to present claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 13-16 and 18. In D5-D7 a number of compounds are disclosed (cf. D5, Scheme 1, compound 4; D7, Table 2, entry 3; D7, Fig. 1, last reaction scheme) which fall within the scope of present claims 1-5, 8-10, 13-16 and 18.

Accordinly, the subject-matter of claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 13-16 and 18-42 does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.

3. The compounds of present claim 1 represent a selection from the compounds of formula I according to D1 which have the same biological properties and use. Such a selection can only be regarded as inventive, if the claimed derivatives possess surprising effects or unexpected advantages in relation to the com-pounds disclosed in this document. However, no evidence of such effects or pro-perties is presented in the application. Having regard to the fact that the novelty-destroying compounds described in D2-D7 fall within the scope of claim 1 according to D1, the present invention lacks an inventive step in view of D2-D7 when combined with D1. This also applies with respect to 3′,5′-dimethyl-4-(1,1-di-methylheptyl)-1,1′-biphenyl-2-ol (cf. D8, Table 4, Scheme 1, compound 14) which has been disclaimed from present claims 1-18.

Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 13-16 and 18-42 (as far as they are novel) as well as that of claims 6, 7, 10, 12, 17 and 45 does not meet the require ments of Article 33(3) PCT.

 Process claims 43 and 44 do not appear to contain any features which meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/004990

5. Since the compounds according to D1-D7 are highly relevant for the assessment of inventive step, they cannot be removed from the present claims by means of disclaimers. Moreover, the common concept linking together the compounds of claim 1 is not novel in view of D1. The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept within the meaning of Rule 13.1 PCT.

In order for claims using a Markush (generic) formula to be regarded as uniform, the claimed compounds should have in common a structural moiety which is dis-tinctive in view of the prior art. This can be achieved by limiting one of the present variables to a single value not occurring in D1.

SECTION VII

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in documents D1-D4 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

SECTION VIII

- 1. The expression "about" in connection with ranges (cf. page 17, lines 30-32 and page 18, lines 1-2) as well as the phrases "and the like" (cf. page 19, line 21 and page 20, line 5) and "etc." (cf. page 16, line 3 and page 23, line 6) render the scope of the application unclear (Art. 6 PCT).
- 2. The vague statement in the description on page 59, last paragraph implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them (see also the PCT Guidelines, PCT/GL/3 III, 4.3a).